MO | **Maryville University**
Traditional Report AY 2018-19
Missouri

REPORT COMPLETE
STATUS: CERTIFIED

Institution Information

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Academic year
- IPEDS ID

IPEDS ID

178059

☐ **THIS INSTITUTION HAS NO IPEDS ID**

IF NO IPEDS ID, PLEASE PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION

ADDRESS

650 Maryville University Drive

CITY

St. Louis

STATE

Missouri ▼

ZIP

63141

SALUTATION

Ms. ▼

FIRST NAME

Stacey

LAST NAME

Ruffin

PHONE

(314) 529-9542

EMAIL

sruffin@maryville.edu

List of Programs

List each program for an initial teaching credential below and indicate whether it is offered at the Undergraduate level (UG), Institution Information Postgraduate level (PG), or both. **(§205(a)(C))**

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Teacher Preparation Program

List of Programs

CIP Code	Teacher Preparation Programs	UG, PG, or Both	Update
13.121	Early Childhood Education	UG	
13.1202	Elementary Education	Both	
13.1203	Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education and Teaching	Both	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	Both	
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	Both	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	Both	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	Both	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Sciences	Both	

Total number of teacher preparation programs:

11

Program Requirements

Check the elements required for admission (entry) into and completion (exit) from the program. If programs are offered at the undergraduate level and postgraduate level, complete the table for both types of programs. **(§205(a)(1)(C)(i))**

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience
- Adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience
- Cooperating Teachers/PreK-12 Staff Supervising Clinical Experience
- Supervised clinical experience

Undergraduate Requirements

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?

- ● Yes
- ○ No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the undergraduate level. If no, leave the table below blank (or **clear responses already entered**) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Admission		Completion	
Transcript	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Fingerprint check	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Background check	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Minimum GPA	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Minimum ACT score	○ Yes	● No	○ Yes	● No
Minimum SAT score	○ Yes	● No	○ Yes	● No
Minimum basic skills test score	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	○ Yes	● No	● Yes	○ No
Recommendation(s)	○ Yes	● No	○ Yes	● No
Essay or personal statement	○ Yes	● No	○ Yes	● No

Element	Admission		Completion	
Interview	○ Yes	● No	○ Yes	● No
Other Specify: Missouri Educator Evaluation System	○ Yes	● No	● Yes	○ No

2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

2.75

3. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

2.75

4. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:

Minimum Content and Professional Coursework GPA is 3.0 for completing the program

Postgraduate Requirements

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?

● **Yes**

○ **No**

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the postgraduate level. If no, leave the table below blank (or **clear responses already entered**) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Admission		Completion	
Transcript	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Fingerprint check	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Background check	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Minimum GPA	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Minimum ACT score	○ Yes	● No	○ Yes	● No
Minimum SAT score	○ Yes	● No	○ Yes	● No
Minimum basic skills test score	○ Yes	● No	○ Yes	● No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	● Yes	○ No	● Yes	○ No
Recommendation(s)	● Yes	○ No	○ Yes	● No

Element	Admission		Completion	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Essay or personal statement	○	●	○	●
Interview	●	○	○	●
Other Specify: Missouri Educator Evaluation System	○	●	●	○

2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

3

3. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

3

4. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:

Supervised Clinical Experience

Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2018-19. **(§205(a)(1)(C)(iii), §205(a)(1)(C)(iv))**

Are there programs with student teaching models?

● Yes
○ No

If yes, provide the next two responses. If no, leave them blank.

Programs with student teaching models (most traditional programs)	
Number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching	400
Number of clock hours required for student teaching	400

Are there programs in which candidates are the teacher of record?

○ Yes
● No

If yes, provide the next two responses. If no, leave them blank.

Programs in which candidates are the teacher of record in a classroom during the program (many alternative programs)	
Number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to teaching as the teacher of record in a classroom	
Number of years required for teaching as the teacher of record in a classroom	

All Programs

Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE staff) Optional tool for automatically calculating full-time equivalent faculty in the system	4
Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE staff)	12
Number of cooperating teachers/K-12 staff supervising clinical experience during this academic year	81
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	81

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

Candidates are assigned practicum placements beginning in the sophomore year, even prior to official acceptance into the School of Education. Practicum experiences continue each semester throughout the remainder of their programs, culminating in student teaching. Practicum experiences are aligned to methods coursework with increasing expectations for lesson development and delivery as candidates progress through the program. The full-time faculty member responsible for the methods coursework serves as the "lead" for each group of adjunct faculty hired to practicum experiences tied to each methods class. The lead faculty member meets regularly with adjunct faculty supervising practicum experiences in order to ensure consistency of expectations for all candidates. All faculty (full-time and adjunct) supervising student teachers are required to complete training in the Missouri Educator Evaluation System as a condition for serving in this role.

Enrollment and Program Completers

In each of the following categories, provide the total number of individuals enrolled in teacher preparation programs for an initial teaching credential and the subset of individuals enrolled who also completed the program during the academic year. **(§205(a)(1)(C)(ii))**

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Enrolled Student
- Program Completer

Enrollment and Program Completers

2018-19 Total	
Total Number of Individuals Enrolled	81
Subset of Program Completers	21

Gender	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
Male	6	4
Female	75	17
Non-Binary/Other	0	0
No Gender Reported	0	0
Race/Ethnicity	**Total Enrolled**	**Subset of Program Completers**
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0
Asian	1	1
Black or African American	0	0
Hispanic/Latino of any race	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0
White	77	17

Race/Ethnicity	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
Two or more races	0	0
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	3	3

Race/Ethnicity	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
Two or more races	0	0
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	3	3

Teachers Prepared

On this page, enter the number of program completers by the subject area in which they were prepared to teach, and by their academic majors. Note that an individual can be counted in more than one academic major and subject area. For example, if an individual is prepared to teach Elementary Education and Mathematics, that individual should be counted in both subject areas. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major or subject area, you may leave the cell blank. Please use the "Other" category sparingly, if there is no similar subject area or academic major listed. In these cases, you should use the text box to describe the subject area(s) and/or the academic major(s) counted in the "Other" category.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the program completers in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the program completers in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the program completers for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the teachers prepared data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Academic Major

Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2018-19.

For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. **(§205(b)(1)(H))**

What are CIP Codes?

☐ **No teachers prepared in academic year 2018-19**

If your program has no teachers prepared, check the box above and leave the table below blank (or **clear responses already entered**).

What are CIP codes? The Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP) provides a taxonomic scheme that supports the accurate tracking and reporting of fields of study and program completions activity. CIP was originally developed by the U.S. Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) in 1980, with revisions occurring in 1985, 1990, and 2000 (https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/cipcode/Default.aspx?y=55).

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	50

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	15
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	22
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	4
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	4
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	7
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	
13.1320	Teacher Education - Trade and Industrial	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	1
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
13.99	Education - Other Specify:	

Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2018-19. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. **(§205(b)(1)(H))**

Please note that the list of majors includes several "Teacher Education" majors, as well as several noneducation majors. Please use care in entering your majors to ensure education-specific majors and non-education majors are counted correctly. For example, if an individual majored in Chemistry, that individual should be counted in the "Chemistry" academic major category rather than the "Teacher Education–Chemistry" category.

What are CIP Codes?

Do participants earn a degree upon completion of the program?

- ● Yes
- ○ No

☐ **No teachers prepared in academic year 2018-19**

If your program does not grant participants a degree upon completion, or has no teachers prepared, leave the table below blank (or **clear responses already entered**).

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	11
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	3
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	6
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	3
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	1
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - General Science	1
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	1
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	
13.1320	Teacher Education - Trade and Industrial	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	1
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
13.99	Education - Other Specify:	
01	Agriculture	
03	Natural Resources and Conservation	
05	Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies	
09	Communication or Journalism	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
11	Computer and Information Sciences	
12	Personal and Culinary Services	
14	Engineering	
16	Foreign Languages, Literatures, and Linguistics	
19	Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	
21	Technology Education/Industrial Arts	
22	Legal Professions and Studies	
23	English Language/Literature	
24	Liberal Arts/Humanities	
25	Library Science	
26	Biological and Biomedical Sciences	
27	Mathematics and Statistics	
30	Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies	
38	Philosophy and Religious Studies	
40	Physical Sciences	
41	Science Technologies/Technicians	
42	Psychology	
44	Public Administration and Social Service Professions	
45	Social Sciences	
46	Construction	
47	Mechanic and Repair Technologies	
50	Visual and Performing Arts	
51	Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences	
52	Business/Management/Marketing	
54	History	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
99	Other Specify:	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
99	Other Specify:	

Program Assurances

Respond to the following assurances. Note: Teacher preparation programs should be prepared to provide documentation and evidence, when requested, to support the following assurances. **(§205(a)(1)(A)(iii); §206(b))**

Program Assurances

1. Program preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends.

- ● Yes
- ○ No

2. Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.

- ● Yes
- ○ No

3. Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects.

- ○ Yes
- ○ No
- ● **Program does not prepare special education teachers**

4. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.

- ● Yes
- ○ No

5. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.

- ● Yes
- ○ No

6. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.

- ● Yes
- ○ No

7. Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.

- ● Yes
- ○ No

8. Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:

Maryville's teacher preparation program maintains strong and collaborative relationships with area school districts, with special attention to urban and diverse schools. External advisory boards as well as collaborative relationships provide us ongoing data and feedback on the needs of LEAs and the instructional decisions new teachers face. State (Missouri DESE) and national (NCATE/CAEP) accreditation processes ensure the ongoing collection, analysis, and use of candidate and program data to keep programs current and connected to practice. All candidates specific coursework focused on providing instruction to children and youth with disabilities and these strategies are introduced within each content methods course. Instruction to limited English proficient students is incorporated in all methods courses taken within each program area. All candidates have opportunities to interact with students with disabilities and LEP students within their extensive field experiences. All candidates are given supervised field experiences with children from low-income as well as urban families and their instruction is emphasized in accompanying methods courses.

Annual Goals: Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. **(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))**

Please note that the goals you set last year are not pre-loaded into your report because the Department's changes to wording of the questions in this section make them incompatible for pre-loading from last year's data. Next year, your goals will be pre-loaded into your report based on the goals you enter this year.

In order to complete this section, you may find it helpful to review your goals in your 2019 report. You may download and review your 2019 report by following the following steps: 1) click the Home tab, 2) click "Academic Year 2018-19 Data" in the banner near the top of the page to expand the dropdown, and select "Academic Year 2017-18 Data," 3) Click Download Report.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Quantifiable Goals

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2018-19?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2018-19 blank (or **clear responses already entered**).

- ● Yes
- ○ No

2. Describe your goal.

Our goal is to add 3 prospective math teachers in 2018-19.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

- ● Yes
- ○ No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Our 1st-year students at Maryville University are assigned life coaches. Future math education students meet with an academic advisor in the school of education to help the students transition to the School of Education, and with questions they may have about mathematics courses.

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

We work with the Mathematics Department at Maryville University to help our students who may be struggling in mathematics courses. We updated our state matrix this year to include data science courses that our students will need for programmatic changes in 6-12 mathematics course.

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)

7. Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2019-20? If no, leave the next question blank.

- ● Yes
- ○ No

8. Describe your goal.

Our goal was to add 2 prospective teachers to our program in mathematics in 2019-20.

Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank.

- ● Yes
- ○ No

10. Describe your goal.

Our goal is to add three prospective teachers of mathematics for 2020-21.

Annual Goals: Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. **(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))**

Please note that the goals you set last year are not pre-loaded into your report because the Department's changes to wording of the questions in this section make them incompatible for pre-loading from last year's data. Next year, your goals will be pre-loaded into your report based on the goals you enter this year.

In order to complete this section, you may find it helpful to review your goals in your 2019 report. You may download and review your 2019 report by following the following steps: 1) click the Home tab, 2) click "Academic Year 2018-19 Data" in the banner near the top of the page to expand the dropdown, and select "Academic Year 2017-18 Data," 3) Click Download Report.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Quantifiable Goals

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2018-19?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2018-19 blank (or **clear responses already entered**).

- ● Yes
- ○ No

2. Describe your goal.

Our goal is to add 2 prospective science teachers in 2018-19.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

- ● Yes
- ○ No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Our 1st-year students at Maryville University are assigned life coaches. Future science education students meet with an academic advisor in the school of education to help the students transition to the School of Education, and with questions they may have about science courses.

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

We work with our life coaches and science instructors to advise science ed as an option. Our 1st-year students at Maryville University are assigned life coaches. Future science education students meet with an academic advisor in the school of education to help the students transition to the School of Education, and with questions, they may have about science courses.

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)

7. Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2019-20? If no, leave the next question blank.

- ● Yes
- ○ No

8. Describe your goal.

Our goal is to add 1 prospective science teacher in 2019-20.

Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank.

- ● Yes
- ○ No

10. Describe your goal.

Our goal is to add 1 prospective science teacher in 2020-21.

Annual Goals: Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. **(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))**

Please note that the goals you set last year are not pre-loaded into your report because the Department's changes to wording of the questions in this section make them incompatible for pre-loading from last year's data. Next year, your goals will be pre-loaded into your report based on the goals you enter this year.

In order to complete this section, you may find it helpful to review your goals in your 2019 report. You may download and review your 2019 report by following the following steps: 1) click the Home tab, 2) click "Academic Year 2018-19 Data" in the banner near the top of the page to expand the dropdown, and select "Academic Year 2017-18 Data," 3) Click Download Report.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Quantifiable Goals

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2018-19?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2018-19 blank (or **clear responses already entered**).

○ Yes

● No

2. Describe your goal.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

○ Yes

○ No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)

7. Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2019-20? If no, leave the next question blank.

○ Yes
● No

8. Describe your goal.

Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank.

○ Yes
● No

10. Describe your goal.

Annual Goals: Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. **(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))**

Please note that the goals you set last year are not pre-loaded into your report because the Department's changes to wording of the questions in this section make them incompatible for pre-loading from last year's data. Next year, your goals will be pre-loaded into your report based on the goals you enter this year.

In order to complete this section, you may find it helpful to review your goals in your 2019 report. You may download and review your 2019 report by following the following steps: 1) click the Home tab, 2) click "Academic Year 2018-19 Data" in the banner near the top of the page to expand the dropdown, and select "Academic Year 2017-18 Data," 3) Click Download Report.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Quantifiable Goals

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2018-19?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2018-19 blank (or **clear responses already entered**).

○ Yes
● No

2. Describe your goal.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

○ Yes
○ No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)

7. Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2019-20? If no, leave the next question blank.

○ Yes
● No

8. Describe your goal.

Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank.

○ Yes
● No

10. Describe your goal.

Assessment Pass Rates

The pass rates table is populated from files provided by the testing company or state. The table provides information on the performance of the students in your teacher preparation program on each teacher credential assessment used by your state. In cases where a student has taken a given assessment more than once, the highest score on that test is used. In the case of a teacher preparation program with fewer than 10 scores reported on any single initial teacher credential assessment during an academic year, the program shall collect and publish information with respect to an average pass rate and scaled score on each state credential assessment taken over a three-year period. **(§205(a)(1)(B))**

Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Pass rate
- Scaled score
- Teacher credential assessment

Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
036 -ART Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
016 -BIOLOGY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
064 -EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	8			
064 -EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	5			
064 -EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	13	239	13	100
064 -EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	9			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
007 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: ENGLISH LANG. ARTS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all noncl	2			
007 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: ENGLISH LANG. ARTS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	18	239	18	100
007 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: ENGLISH LANG. ARTS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	11	254	11	100
007 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: ENGLISH LANG. ARTS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	18	248	18	100
007 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: ENGLISH LANG. ARTS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	17	250	17	100
008 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: MATHEMATICS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all noncl	2			
008 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: MATHEMATICS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	22	259	21	95
008 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: MATHEMATICS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	11	259	11	100
008 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: MATHEMATICS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	18	253	18	100
008 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: MATHEMATICS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	17	254	17	100
009 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: SCIENCE SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all noncl	2			
009 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: SCIENCE SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	22	238	21	95
009 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: SCIENCE SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	11	242	11	100
009 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: SCIENCE SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	18	241	18	100
009 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: SCIENCE SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	17	238	17	100

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
010 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: SOCIAL STUDIES SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all noncl	2			
010 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: SOCIAL STUDIES SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	18	232	14	78
010 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: SOCIAL STUDIES SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	11	239	11	100
010 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: SOCIAL STUDIES SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	18	238	18	100
010 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION: SOCIAL STUDIES SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	17	238	17	100
020 -ENGLISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
020 -ENGLISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	3			
020 -ENGLISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
023 -MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	3			
023 -MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
023 -MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			
023 -MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
011 -MIDDLE SCHOOL EDUCATION: LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4			
011 -MIDDLE SCHOOL EDUCATION: LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			
012 -MIDDLE SCHOOL EDUCATION: MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	3			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
012 -MIDDLE SCHOOL EDUCATION: MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	2			
013 -MIDDLE SCHOOL EDUCATION: SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	2			
014 -MIDDLE SCHOOL EDUCATION: SOCIAL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
0255 -MISSOURI PRE-SERVICE TEACHER ASSESSMENT NON-VIDEO Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	24	45	24	100
0255 -MISSOURI PRE-SERVICE TEACHER ASSESSMENT NON-VIDEO Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2016-17	22	45	21	95
071 -SOCIAL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
030 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
030 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
030 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	3			
030 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
027 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: ECONOMICS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
027 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: ECONOMICS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
027 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: ECONOMICS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	3			
027 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: ECONOMICS SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
028 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: GEOGRAPHY SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
028 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: GEOGRAPHY SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
028 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: GEOGRAPHY SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	3			
028 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: GEOGRAPHY SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
029 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: POLITICAL SCIENCE SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
029 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: POLITICAL SCIENCE SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
029 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: POLITICAL SCIENCE SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	3			
029 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: POLITICAL SCIENCE SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
025 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: UNITED STATES HISTORY SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
025 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: UNITED STATES HISTORY SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
025 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: UNITED STATES HISTORY SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	3			
025 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: UNITED STATES HISTORY SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
026 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: WORLD HISTORY SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
026 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: WORLD HISTORY SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
026 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: WORLD HISTORY SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	3			
026 -SOCIAL SCIENCE: WORLD HISTORY SUBTEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			

Summary Pass Rates

The pass rates table is populated from files provided by the testing company or state. The table provides information on the performance of the students in your teacher preparation program on each teacher credential assessment used by your state. In cases where a student has taken a given assessment more than once, the highest score on that test is used. In the case of a teacher preparation program with fewer than 10 scores reported on any single initial teacher credential assessment during an academic year, the program shall collect and publish information with respect to an average pass rate and scaled score on each state credential assessment taken over a three-year period. **(§205(a)(1)(B))**

Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Pass rate
- Scaled score
- Teacher credential assessment

Summary Pass Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2018-19	21	21	100
All program completers, 2017-18	24	24	100
All program completers, 2016-17	22	21	95

Low-Performing

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program. **(§205(a)(1)(D), §205(a)(1)(E))**

Low-Performing

1. Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?

- ⦿ Yes
- ◯ No

If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:

- ☑ State
- ☐ CAEP
- ☐ AAQEP
- ☐ Other specify:

2. Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state?

- ◯ Yes
- ⦿ No

Use of Technology

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's use of technology. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

Use of Technology

1. **Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. (§205(a)(1)(F))**

   Does your program prepare teachers to:

   a. **integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction**

   ● Yes
   ○ No

   b. **use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning**

   ● Yes
   ○ No

   c. **use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning**

   ● Yes
   ○ No

   d. **use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning**

   ● Yes
   ○ No

2. **Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.**

   Students at Maryville use technology throughout their course work and in their practicum placements. During their first year of courses, candidates analyze school and community data from school and community data from state and local web-based sources to compare schools and districts along a major street running from suburban to urban St. Louis. Students use technology in all their education courses at Maryville including the use of iPads, interactive whiteboards, computers, and document cameras. While in practicum placements, our students use technology in schools and practice effective practices for the use of technology with P-12 students. During the student teaching experience, our students do extensive data collection and analyze data to evaluate teaching and learning of their K-12 students at the whole class, sub-group and individual student levels. Students are assessed on their ability to effectively infuse technology into lesson plans, instruction and class projects. For the past five years, faculty within the School of Education has been actively engaged in professional development efforts designed to enable them to more effectively infuse technology into their classrooms to provide strong models for our teacher candidates. We subscribe to Dr. Ruben Puentedura's SAMR (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, Redefinition) model as a framework for scaffolding technology usage in the classroom as a tool to empower higher-level thinking and problem-solving. All freshmen in the university are issued iPads as part of the university's Digital World initiative, and, beginning in fall 2016, the university issues iPads and Apple Pencils to all undergraduate students. Faculty members receive extensive professional development to implement 1:1 technology in classes to improve student engagement and critical thinking. The School of Education began this effort prior to the university-wide

initiative being adopted. Students have access to a Maker Space to provide students with hands-on technology experiences to innovate their instruction. SOE faculty have designed course assignments/projects in all courses that require students to use those resources. Most of the SOE faculty have also completed online training, (encouraged for all university faculty) to earn the Apple Teacher designation.

Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program.
(§205(a)(1)(G))

Teacher Training

1. **Provide a description of the activities that prepare general education teachers to:**

   a. **Teach students with disabilities effectively**

   Reflect on equity in special education. Reflect on an IEP/504 presentation Present a Research-based Instructional Strategy or Intervention and prepare a digital handout for teacher candidate use. Write a learning disability reflection. Write a behavior support plan for a student in the practicum setting. Create a social/emotional learning wheel. Reflect on the gifted and talented learners in the practicum setting. Create a differentiation plan based on student needs and work submitted in practicum.

   b. **Participate as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.***

   Our students participate in IEP meeting while student teaching. Some students are able to participate in IEP meetings during practicum if the IEP committee is willing.

   c. **Effectively teach students who are limited English proficient.**

   Our students write differentiated lesson plans for students who are English Language Learners. Students participate in class activities over multiple sessions where they learn about the different stages of English Language Learning, investigate resources that meet the needs of English Language Learners, participate in activities building vocabulary, design activities that engage families of diverse backgrounds and evaluate text and literature for cultural bias. Our students discuss the need for culturally responsive teaching practices and how to use those in lesson plans and in class activities.

2. **Does your program prepare special education teachers?**

   ○ Yes
   ● No

   If yes, provide a description of the activities that prepare *special education teachers* to:

   a. **Teach students with disabilities effectively**

   b. **Participate as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.***

   c. **Effectively teach students who are limited English proficient.**

Contextual Information

On this page, review the contextual information about your program. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card (see below). The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

>School of Education Mission: The mission of the School of Education at Maryville University is to prepare socially responsible critical thinkers who are collaborative and reflective educators committed to the moral endeavor of schooling in a democracy. >Conceptual Framework: The structure for reflection and the outcomes of our programs is further delineated by four strands. These provide the basis for the coursework and experiences in the program. 1. Developmental— Teachers must be able to understand how their students' growth and their own personal and professional growth and development have an impact upon the teaching/learning process. 2. Curriculum and Instruction— Teachers are ultimately responsible for what it taught and how it is taught. They must make decisions about how to best spend the instructional time in their classrooms and what resources to best use. In particular, our work with the National Network for Educational Renewal has suggested that we MUST reflect upon the access to quality curriculum and instruction for our poor and minority children. 3. School and Society— Teacher education candidates at Maryville University are asked to reflect critically with regard to the purposes of schooling in a diverse and democratic society. 4. Research and Inquiry— This strand not only acknowledges, but validates as essential, the role of current research and inquiry as a means for reflection on teacher development and practice. >Accreditation Reports: Maryville University is accredited by the North Central Association of Colleges and Universities. Its teacher education programs are accredited by the state of Missouri and nationally accredited by the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP). The most recent national and state accreditation reports were issued in May 2016. The Maryville School of Education Teacher Preparation Programs are fully accredited by CAEP with no AFI's noted. Our program is also approved by the Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education with the majority of standards on the Annual Performance Report being met at the Tier 1 level.

Supporting Files

No files have been provided.

You may upload files to be included with your report card. You should only upload PDF or Microsoft Word or Excel files. These files will be listed as links in your report card. Upload files in the order that you'd like them to appear.

Report Card Certification

Please make sure your entire report card is complete and accurate before completing this section. Once your report card is certified you will not be able to edit your data.

Certification of submission

☑ **I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the** *Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual.*

NAME OF RESPONSIBLE REPRESENTATIVE FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM:

Stacey Ruffin

TITLE:

Director of Clinical Experiences and Partnerships

Certification of review of submission

☑ **I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the** *Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual.*

NAME OF REVIEWER:

MASCHEAL SCHAPPE

TITLE:

Dean, School of Education