RESEARCH PAPER EVALUATION

Missouri Regiona	ai Junior Science, Engineering and Hui	manities Sympo	sium
Paper Rank: First	STUDENT NAME:		
Second	SCHOOL /TEACHER :		
Third	Paper Title:		
Fourth			
Fifth	Paper Section:		
Sixth	Judge:		
*********	**************************************	*****	******
Area for Consideration		Possible # Of Points	Points Scored
L Scientific Thought		15	

Area	for Consideration	Possible # Of Points	Points Scored
I.	Scientific Thought	15	
b. c.	Is there a clear statement of the problem? Is the project sufficiently limited in scope to permit a meaningful experiment? Is the project clearly in the realm of observable, measurable and testable phenomena? Is there evidence that the student understands the scientific and		
II.	technical principles involved in the investigation? Development Of Project	20	
b. c.	Is the project a result of individual curiosity and individual pursuit? Has this project challenged the participant at his/her level? Has the student gone beyond the boundaries of regular school subject matter? Does the project show originality, creativity and independent thinking?		
III.	Experimental Process	30	Continued
b.	of the apparatus or in the method?		

III. Experimental Process (continued) d. To what extent have available facilities and equipment been utilized and adapted for the investigation? e. Has the student tested the findings for reproducibility or obtained verification from outside sources? f. Have independent and dependent variables been identified? (Some mathematics, philosophical or theoretical papers may not have variables.) g. Is there evidence the project has been thoroughly and intensively studied? h. Has the student acknowledged to what degree assistance from others was received in selection of topic, research or construction of apparatus? i. Has the student given credit in an acceptable format for borrowed materials in footnotes or bibliography? VI. Analytical Approach a. Has the data, obtained by the experiment, been fully used to draw valid conclusions? b. Is there an evaluation of the validity of results? c. Are there suggestions for future research? d. Was statistical analysis employed where appropriate? e. Is there a clear understanding of the statistical procedures used (if appropriate)? V. Communication Skills a. Is the research paper written in a clear and understandable manner? b. What was the quality of board work and audiovisuals? c. Did the student have good voice projection, clear enunciation and use of acceptable terms and grammar? d. Was there a definition of terms and clear explanation of concepts? e. Did the student have the ability to handle questions well?	Area for Consideration	Possible # Of Points	Points Scored
and adapted for the investigation? e. Has the student tested the findings for reproducibility or obtained verification from outside sources? f. Have independent and dependent variables been identified? (Some mathematics, philosophical or theoretical papers may not have variables.) g. Is there evidence the project has been thoroughly and intensively studied? h. Has the student acknowledged to what degree assistance from others was received in selection of topic, research or construction of apparatus? i. Has the student given credit in an acceptable format for borrowed materials in footnotes or bibliography? VI. Analytical Approach a. Has the data, obtained by the experiment, been fully used to draw valid conclusions? b. Is there an evaluation of the validity of results? c. Are there suggestions for future research? d. Was statistical analysis employed where appropriate? e. Is there a clear understanding of the statistical procedures used (if appropriate)? V. Communication Skills a. Is the research paper written in a clear and understandable manner? b. What was the quality of board work and audiovisuals? c. Did the student have good voice projection, clear enunciation and use of acceptable terms and grammar? d. Was there a definition of terms and clear explanation of concepts? e. Did the student have the ability to handle questions well?	III. Experimental Process (continued)		
a. Has the data, obtained by the experiment, been fully used to draw valid conclusions? b. Is there an evaluation of the validity of results? c. Are there suggestions for future research? d. Was statistical analysis employed where appropriate? e. Is there a clear understanding of the statistical procedures used (if appropriate)? V. Communication Skills a. Is the research paper written in a clear and understandable manner? b. What was the quality of board work and audiovisuals? c. Did the student have good voice projection, clear enunciation and use of acceptable terms and grammar? d. Was there a definition of terms and clear explanation of concepts? e. Did the student have the ability to handle questions well?	 and adapted for the investigation? e. Has the student tested the findings for reproducibility or obtained verification from outside sources? f. Have independent and dependent variables been identified? (Some mathematics, philosophical or theoretical papers may not have variables.) g. Is there evidence the project has been thoroughly and intensively studied? h. Has the student acknowledged to what degree assistance from others was received in selection of topic, research or construction of apparatus? i. Has the student given credit in an acceptable format for borrowed 		
a. Has the data, obtained by the experiment, been fully used to draw valid conclusions? b. Is there an evaluation of the validity of results? c. Are there suggestions for future research? d. Was statistical analysis employed where appropriate? e. Is there a clear understanding of the statistical procedures used (if appropriate)? V. Communication Skills a. Is the research paper written in a clear and understandable manner? b. What was the quality of board work and audiovisuals? c. Did the student have good voice projection, clear enunciation and use of acceptable terms and grammar? d. Was there a definition of terms and clear explanation of concepts? e. Did the student have the ability to handle questions well?	VI. Analytical Approach	25	
a. Is the research paper written in a clear and understandable manner? b. What was the quality of board work and audiovisuals? c. Did the student have good voice projection, clear enunciation and use of acceptable terms and grammar? d. Was there a definition of terms and clear explanation of concepts? e. Did the student have the ability to handle questions well?	valid conclusions? b. Is there an evaluation of the validity of results? c. Are there suggestions for future research? d. Was statistical analysis employed where appropriate? e. Is there a clear understanding of the statistical procedures used (if		
 manner? b. What was the quality of board work and audiovisuals? c. Did the student have good voice projection, clear enunciation and use of acceptable terms and grammar? d. Was there a definition of terms and clear explanation of concepts? e. Did the student have the ability to handle questions well? 	V. Communication Skills	10	Continued
	manner?b. What was the quality of board work and audiovisuals?c. Did the student have good voice projection, clear enunciation and use of acceptable terms and grammar?d. Was there a definition of terms and clear explanation of concepts?		
TOTAL POINTS SCORED (OUT OF 100 POSSIBLE)	TOTAL POINTS SCORED (OUT OF 100 POSSIBLE)		

GENERAL COMMENTS: